

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 4, 2001

LB 75

storage tank or more stations with two storage tanks. That's the question I'll ask Senator Connealy if he will yield.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Would you respond, Senator Connealy?

SENATOR CONNEALY: I would have to give you my impression, not real hard data, but I would...my impression would be that there would be a tremendous amount more of tanks...of stations with two tanks than there are with one tank.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. And members of the Legislature, we don't want to make a token gesture which is not really going to get at the underlying inequity found in this bill. I say that if you're going to start exempting stations, exempt those with two storage tanks. One might be regular, which is what Senator Connealy and his band expect will be the case. One very well may be diesel or it could be premium. But neither of them is going to be ethanol. The station owner wants to sell the product that the public is willing to buy. What Senator Connealy and his band want to do is compel anybody with two tanks to at least fill one of them with ethanol. Before Senator Connealy wanders too far away, I want to ask a question to make sure that I'm not misrepresenting.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Connealy.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Connealy, under your bill if a station has two storage tanks, one of them would have to sell ethanol, is that true, under your bill?

SENATOR CONNEALY: If...if the stations are selling gasoline, then they would have to offer ethanol, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Even if they only have two tanks?

SENATOR CONNEALY: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And if one of the products being sold is diesel and the other is gasoline, that gasoline tank must contain ethanol, is that true?